3

5

6

8

10

9

12

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24 25 REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the subject application. Claims 1-23, 25-44, and 46-47 are pending in the instant Application. Claims 32-35 are amended in this Response. Claims 1, 12, 32, 37 and 43 are independent claims.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-23, 25-43, and 46-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,642,939 to Vallone et al. (hereinafter "Vallone"). Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-23, 25-43, and 46-47 are neither taught nor suggested by Vallone.

Vallone generally teaches a schedule presentation system that is designed to present program schedule information to a user in an intuitive manner. The system includes the use of an input section 101, a media switch 102 and an output section 103. The input section 101 is capable of receiving television (TV) input streams in various forms. Some of those forms include analog NTSC and PAL broadcast signals. Other forms include digital TV signals. The digital TV signals include signals originating from Digital Satellite System (DSS), Digital Broadcast Services (DBS), or Advanced Television Standards Committee (ATSC) sources. (See column 5, lines 4-16.)

When a TV signal in analog form (NTSC or PAL) is received, the input section 101 encodes the signal using a MPEG encoding method and passes the encoded signal to the media switch 102. When a digital TV signal (DSS, DBS, or ATSC) is received, MPEG encoded streams are extracted and passed to the media switch 102. (See column 5, lines 17-26.)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 11, 2005

ı

7

10 11

12

13

14

t 5

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

LEE & HAVES. PLLC

15

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. MS1-0906US Serial No. 09/895,869

The media switch 102 includes a CPU, a hard disk 104 and a memory 104. The media switch 102 is capable of buffering a MPEG stream into the memory 104. The media switch 102 is also capable of sending the MPEG stream to the output section 103. (See column 5, lines 36-41.)

It is important for the Office to realize that the Vallone system does not teach or suggest the concept of handing data streams that are encoded in various encoding formats. Because of this, Vallone does not teach or suggest a system that is capable of receiving data streams that are encoded in various encoding formats; demultiplexing data streams that are encoded in various encoding formats; separating data streams that are encoded in various encoding formats; and/or capturing data streams that are encoded in various encoding formats.

The Applicant will now explain how the claimed invention of the instant Application is neither taught nor suggested by the disclosure of the Vallone patent.

Claim 1 of the present application, as amended, recites:

1. A method comprising:

receiving a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format;

receiving a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format;

demultiplexing the first broadcast data stream while maintaining the first encoding format of the first broadcast data stream;

demultiplexing the second broadcast data stream while maintaining the second encoding format of the second broadcast data stream;

storing the first broadcast data stream on a storage device in the first encoding format;

storing the second broadcast data stream on the storage device in the second encoding format; and

time shifting the broadcast data stream.

The Office Action cites Fig. 1 of Vallone as well as column 5, lines 20-25 and column 8, lines 10-18 as support for receiving a broadcast data stream encoded using different encoding formats. (See Office Action, page 4.) However, Applicant submits that the cited portions of Vallone fail to disclose the elements of amended claim 1. In particular, the language of claim 1 includes receiving, demultiplexing, and storing "a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format". Applicant submits that the Vallone reference fails to disclose this handling of two different broadcast data streams encoded using different encoding formats as recited in claim 1.

Vallone discloses the use of a single encoding format (MPEG). The use of a single encoding format described in Vallone is different from the elements of claim 1, which include two different encoding formats associated with two different broadcast data streams.

Indeed, Vallone can process analog (NTSC and PAL) and digital (DBS, DSS, and ATSC) signals. However, this capability is not the same as being able to receive, demultiplex, and store "a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format". The difference is how Vallone handles the analog and digital signals.

The analog TV signals described in Vallone are <u>not</u> encoded; the digital signals that the Vallone system handles <u>are</u> encoded. Vallone states this explicitly in column 5, lines 19-26. Therefore, so that analog signals can be handled in the system, Vallone *encodes* the analog signals into MPEG format for communication to the media switch 102. The encoded analog signals are in the same MPEG

I

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

LEE & HAYER PLLC

format as the incoming digital signals. The Office is again directed to column 5. lines 19-26, where the foregoing is plainly described.

The Office maintains that Fig. 7 and the disclosure of column 8, lines 1-18 further substantiates that the Vallone system is capable of receiving, demultiplexing, and storing "a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format". Applicant disagrees with the Office for the following reasons.

Fig. 7 illustrates a media switch 701 that receives input from an MPEG encoder 703 and MPEG audio 704. Generally, these encoders would be part of input section 101 described above. In particular, as discussed, the input section 101 is responsible for encoding analog signals TV signals received by the Vallone system. Vallone further discusses that the media switch 701 may be configured to handle MPEG2 signals if a MPEG2 transport demultiplexer is used. However, Vallone explicitly states that this would require deleting the MPEG encoder 703 and the MPEG audio encoder 704. (See column 8, lines 3-6.) The foregoing means that in order to handle another encoding technique, a current encoding must be eliminated. Vallone describes eliminating the handling of MPEG encoded signals in favor of handling MPEG2 encoded signals.

If the Vallone system were capable of receiving, demultiplexing, and storing "a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format", as is asserted by the Office, then the deletion of MPEG encoder 703 and the MPEG audio encoder 704 would be unnecessary. Actually, removing the MPEG encoder 703 and the MPEG audio encoder 704 in favor of a MPEG2 transport demultiplexer directly indicates that the media switch 701 is unable to receive,

14

16

17

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

demultiplex, and store "a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format".

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is allowable over Vallone. Given that claims 2-11 depend from claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that those claims are likewise allowable over Vallone for at least the reasons discussed above.

Claim 12 of the present application, as amended, recites:

12. A method comprising:

receiving a first digital data stream encoded using a first encoding format;

receiving a second digital stream encoded using a second encoding format;

separating components of the first digital data stream;

storing the components of the first digital data stream on a storage device, wherein the components are stored in the first encoding format;

receiving a command to play back the first digital data stream;

retrieving at least one of the stored components of the first digital data stream from the storage device;

decoding the retrieved component; and

rendering the components of the first digital data stream in a manner that corresponds to the received play back command.

Applicant submits that the Vallone reference does <u>not</u> disclose the elements of claim 12. In particular, the language of claim 12 includes receiving "a first digital data stream encoded using a first encoding format" and "a second digital data stream encoded using a second encoding format". As discussed above with respect to claim 1, Vallone discloses the use of a single encoding format (MPEG). Vallone fails to mention receiving two different digital data streams encoded using different encoding formats, as recited in claim 12. The use of a single encoding

LEE & HAYES, PLIC RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 11, 2005

format described in Vallone is different from receiving two different data streams with different encoding formats. Moreover, it cannot be fairly said that the analog TV signals (NTSC or PAL) and the digital TV signals (DBS, DSS, or ATSC) teach the indicted limitations of claim 12. First, one signal is analog and the other is digital. Second, even if the Vallone system were capable of receiving two digital signals, as discussed above, those signals could be received only if they were in MPEG format. Thus, Vallone fails to disclose the elements of amended claim 12.

Further, Applicant submits that Vallone fails to disclose "storing the components of the first digital data stream on a storage device, wherein the components are stored in the first encoding format" prior to "receiving a command to play back the first digital data stream", as recited in claim 12. Thus, the method of claim 12 stores the components of the first digital data stream and, at a later time, receives and decodes the stored components after receiving a command to play back the digital data stream. Vallone fails to disclose this method of operation.

Fig. 7 of Vallone and the associated discussion of Fig. 7 (column 7, line 66 through column 9, line 18) discloses a process that is different from the method of claim 12. For example, the disclosure of Fig. 7 in Vallone fails to disclose storing encoded data and waiting to decode the stored data until a playback command is received.

Accordingly, Vallone fails to disclose the elements of claim 12. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 12 is allowable over Vallone. Given that claims 13-23 and 25-31 depend from claim 12,

13

14

17

19 20

21

22

24 25

Lou & Hayes, pllc

Applicant respectfully submits that those claims are likewise allowable over Vallone for at least the reasons discussed above.

Claim 32 of the present application recites:

32. A method comprising:

receiving at least two broadcast data streams, one of the at least two broadcast streams utilizing a first encoding format and another of the at least two broadcast streams utilizing a second encoding format;

separating components of one of the at least two broadcast streams;

storing the components of one of the at least two broadcast streams on a storage device;

retrieving the components of the stored one of the at least two broadcast streams from the storage device;

decoding the retrieved components;

rendering the components of one of the at least two broadcast streams; and

receiving a request to pause rendering of one of the at least two broadcast streams currently being rendered, in response to the pause request:

halting rendering of one of the at least two broadcast streams currently being rendered;

continuing to store the components of the halted one of the at least two broadcast streams on the storage device.

Applicant submits that Vallone fails to disclose "rendering the components of the broadcast data stream; and receiving a request to pause rendering of the broadcast data stream, in response to the pause request: halting rendering of the broadcast data stream; continuing to store the components of the broadcast data stream on the storage device", as recited in claim 32. The Office Action cites column 9, lines 60-68 and column 10, lines 1-10 of Vallone as support for these limitations. That portion of Vallone states:

To pause the pipeline, for example, an event called "pause" is sent to the first object in the pipeline. The event is relayed on to the next object and

so on down the pipeline. This all happens a synchronously to the data going through the pipeline. Thus, similar to applications such as telephony, control of the flow of MPEG streams is asynchronous and separate from the streams themselves. This allows for a simple logic design that is at the same time powerful enough to support the features described previously, including pause, rewind, fast forward and others. In addition, this structure allows fast and efficient switching between stream sources, since buffered data can be simply discarded and decoders reset using a single event, after which data from the new stream will pass down the pipeline. Such a capability is needed, for example, when switching the channel being captured by the input section, or when switching between a live signal from the input section and a stored stream.

The cited language of Vallone discusses objects in a pipeline and communicating a "pause" event through the pipeline. However, the cited language fails to disclose halting the <u>rendering</u> of a broadcast data stream and <u>continuing to store</u> the components of the broadcast data stream on the storage device. The mere mention of a "pause" event does not disclose halting the rendering of a broadcast data stream. Further, the "pause" event makes no reference to continuing to store components of the broadcast data stream.

Furthermore, claim 32 recites "receiving at least two broadcast data streams, one of the at least two broadcast streams utilizing a first encoding format and another of the at least two broadcast streams utilizing a second encoding format." As is discussed in detail above, the Vallone system fails to teach or suggest the concept of receiving broadcast signals in a first and second encoding format, respectively. Vallone merely teaches the capability of receiving analog signals and encoding those signals, and receiving digital signals in MPEG encoded format. The system passes the encoded signals to a module that handles outputting of the encoded signals. The encoded signals may only be encoded

3

5

.

9 10

11

12

14

15 16

1**7**

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

Lee & Hayes, Plic

using one particular encoding scheme if they are to be properly handled by the Vallone system.

Accordingly, Vallone fails to disclose the elements of claim 32. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 32 is allowable over Vallone. Given that claims 33-36 depend from claim 32, Applicant respectfully submits that those claims are likewise allowable over Vallone for at least the reasons discussed above.

Claim 37 of the present application, as amended, recites:

37. One or more computer-readable media having stored thereon a computer program that, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to:

separate the components of a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format;

separate the components of a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format;

store the components of the first and second broadcast data streams on a hard disk drive;

receive a request to play back the stored components of the first broadcast data stream;

retrieve the stored components of the first broadcast data stream from the hard disk drive;

decode the components of the first broadcast data stream; and render the components of the first broadcast data stream.

The Vallone reference fails to disclose the elements of claim 37. As discussed above with respect to claims 1, 12 and 32 Vallone fails to disclose a system that handles both a first broadcast data stream encoded using a first encoding format and a second broadcast data stream encoded using a second encoding format.

Further, the Vallone reference fails to disclose storing the components of the broadcast data stream on a hard disk drive prior to retrieving and decoding

7

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

those components, as recited in claim 37. Thus, the claimed system stores the components of the first and second broadcast data streams and, at a later time, retrieves and decodes those stored components (after receiving a request to play back the stored components). As discussed above with respect to claim 12, Vallone fails to disclose this method of operation. For example, Fig. 7 of Vallone and the associated discussion of Fig. 7 (column 7, line 66 through column 9, line 18) discloses a process that is different from the method of claim 37.

Accordingly, Vallone fails to disclose the elements of claim 37. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 37 is allowable over Vallone. Given that claims 38-42 depend from claim 37, Applicant respectfully submits that those claims are likewise allowable over Vallone for at least the reasons discussed above.

Claim 43 of the present application, as amended, recites:

43. An apparatus comprising:

a capture module configured to capture a first data stream and a second data stream, wherein the first data stream is represented by a first data format and the second data stream is represented by a second data format, and wherein the first data stream is encoded using a first encoding format and the second data stream is encoded using a second encoding format:

a data storage module configured to store the captured data streams in their encoded formats; and

a rendering module configured to decode the data streams and to render the data streams from the data stored on the data storage module.

As discussed above with respect to claims 1, 12, 32 and 37 the Vallone patent fails to disclose the handling of a first data stream encoded using a first encoding format and a second data stream encoded using a second encoding

25

format, as recited in claim 43. As discussed above, Vallone discloses the use of a single encoding format (MPEG). In contrast, the elements of claim 43 recite the use of multiple different encoding formats.

Further, Vallone fails to disclose a first data stream represented by a first data format and a second data stream represented by a second data format, as recited in claim 43.

Accordingly, Vallone fails to disclose the elements of claim 43. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 43 is allowable over Vallone. Given that claims 46-47 depend from claim 43, Applicant respectfully submits that those claims are likewise allowable over Vallone for at least the reasons discussed above.

Applicant respectfully requests that the §102 rejections be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Claims 1-23, 25-43, and 46-47 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and issuance of the subject application. Should any matter in this case remain unresolved, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference with the Examiner to resolve any such outstanding matter.

Date: 10-12-2005

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Tim R. Wyckoff Lee & Hayes, plic Reg. No. 46,175

(206) 315-4001 ext. 110

LEE & HAYES, PLLC RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 11, 2005 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. MS1-0906US Serial No. 09/895,869